

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

1 **COMMISSIONERS** BOB STUMP - Chairman ORIGINAL **GARY PIERCE** 3 **BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS** 4 SUSAN BITTER SMITH 5 DOCKET NO. W-01782A-14-0084 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 6 ABRA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE. 9 10 Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") hereby files the Surrebuttal Testimony 11 12 of Brendan Aladi and Crystal S. Brown in the above docket. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15th day of September 2014. 13 14 15 Arizona Corporation Commission Matthew Laudone, Attorney 16 Bridget Humphrey, Attorney DOCKETED Legal Division 17 Arizona Corporation Commission SEP 15 2014 1200 West Washington Street 18 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 DOCKETED BY (602) 542-3402 19 20 21 22 23 Original and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing filed this 24 15th day of September 2014 with: 25 **Docket Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 26 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

27

28

1	Copy of the foregoing emailed/mailed this 15 th day of September 2014 to:
2	Robert J. Metli
3	Munger Chadwick, PLC 2398 East Camelback Road, Suite 240
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 rjmetli@mungerchadwick.com
5	
6	Kevan Larson President/CEO Abra Water Carrage Lar
7	Abra Water Company, Inc. Post Office Box 515
8	Paulden, Arizona 86334 <u>kevanlarson@cableone.net</u>
9	
10	10
11	Faur Chustine
12	V
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

BOB STUMP

FOR UTILITY SERVICE

Chairman	
GARY PIERCE	
Commissioner	
BRENDA BURNS	
Commissioner	
BOB BURNS	
Commissioner	
SUSAN BITTER SMITH	
Commissioner	
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. W-01782A-14-008
ABRA WATER COMPANY, INC. AN ARIZONA)	
COPORATION, FOR DETERMINATION OF THE)	
CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY)	
PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR)	
INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES)	

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

BRENDAN C. ALADI

PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYST III

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

SEPTEMBER 15, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE OF SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY	1
DATE DACE	2
RATE BASE	2
Water Treatment Plant	
Accumulated Depreciation	
Cash Working Capital Allowance	
REVENUE REQUIREMENT	5
RATE DESIGN	5
<u>SCHEDULES</u>	
Revenue Requirement	BCA-1
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	
Rate Base – Original Cost	
Summary of Rate Base Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment No. 1 – Water Treatment Plant	
Rate Base Adjustment No. 2 – Accumulated Depreciation	
Rate Base Adjustment No. 3 – CIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment No. 4 – Amortization of CIAC	BCA-8
Rate Base Adjustment No. 5 – Cash Working Capital	
Operating Income Statement – Test Year and Staff Recommended	
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	BCA-11
Operating Income Adjustment No. 1 – Outside Services	
Operating Income Adjustment No. 2 - Contractual Services, Testing	
Operating Income Adjustment No. 3 – Rents	BCA-14
Operating Income Adjustment No. 4 – Insurance, General Liability	BCA-15
Operating Income Adjustment No. 5 – Insurance, Health and life	BCA-16
Operating Income Adjustment No. 6 – Rate case Expense	BCA-17
Operating Income Adjustment No. 7 – Bad Debt Expense	BCA-18
Operating Income Adjustment No. 8 – Depreciation Expense	BCA-19
Operating Income Adjustment No. 9 – Taxes Other Than Income	BCA-20
Operating Income Adjustment No. 10 - Property Tax Expense	BCA-21
Operating Income Adjustment No. 11 – Income Taxes	
Operating Income Adjustment Financial Analysis	BCA-23
Comparison of Last Rate Case Application to General Ledger	
Rate Design	BCA-25
Typical Bill Analysis	BCA 26

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ABRA WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. W-01782A-14-0084

Staff continues to recommend a \$3,894 or 1.44 percent revenue increase for the Company. However, Staff identified a problem within the Company's test year bill count generated revenue calculations and as a result, Staff believes that current rates will actually generate higher revenues by approximately this same \$3,894 amount. Therefore, Staff recommends no adjustment to currently approved rates. Staff's adjusted OCRB is \$461,824 as shown on Schedule BCA-1

The surrebuttal testimony discusses the changes made to Staff's direct testimonies and responds, on behalf of Staff, to the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Thomas J. Bourassa, witness for Abra Water Company ("Abra" or "Company"). Staff will discuss the following issues:

- 1. Rate Base
 - a. Water Treatment Plant
 - b. Accumulated Depreciation Reserve
 - c. Cash Working Capital
- 2. Revenue Requirement
- 3. Rate Design

Surrebuttal Testimony of Brendan C. Aladi Docket No. W-01782A-14-0084 Page 1

23

24

3.

Rate Design

.		
1	INTE	RODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
3	A.	My name is Brendan C. Aladi. I am a Public Utilities Analyst III employed by the Arizona
4		Corporation Commission ("Commission") in the Utilities Division ("Staff"). My business
5		address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
6		
7	Q.	Are you the same Brendan C. Aladi who filed direct testimony in this case?
8	A.	Yes.
9		
10	PURI	POSE OF SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding?
12	A.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding is to respond, on behalf of Staff,
13		to the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Thomas J. Bourassa, witness for Abra Water Company
14		("Abra" or "Company").
15		
16	Q.	What issues will you address?
17	A.	I will address the following issues:
18		1. Rate Base
19		a. Water Treatment Plant
20		b. Accumulated Depreciation Reserve
21		c. Cash Working Capital
22		2. Revenue Requirement

A. No. Rather, where I do not respond I rely on my direct testimony.

4 5

RATE BASE

Water Treatment Plant

7

8

6

Q. Has Staff reviewed the Company's rebuttal testimony concerning the Water **Treatment Plant?**

9 10

A. Yes. The Company stated that Staff did not increase the plant-in-service in the last rate case for the arsenic treatment costs.

11

Q. Does Staff agree?

12

13

14

15

16

17

A. No. The Company's rebuttal Exhibit TJB-RB1 shows the general ledger activity for account 320, Water Treatment Equipment. This activity shown on the table below indicates that the \$145,001.72 balance (line 12) includes the cost of arsenic treatment plant in the amount of \$88,347.21 purchased from Aquacell Water Treatment Inc. (line 6). reclassified \$79,900 (line 8) of the \$88,347.21 to prepaid expense. The Company also added arsenic treatment plant in the amount of \$102,209.50 (line 11). Therefore the \$145,001.72 balance shown on this table included \$102,209.50 in Arsenic Treatment Plant as shown in the

18 19

table below. The activity in account 320 is as follows:

21

20

Line No.	Year	Per Co.'s G/L	Description
1	1997	\$ 3,147.82	FA Adj
2	1999	\$ 368.70	Deposits
3	2002.	\$ (947.00)	Staff Adjustment
4	2004	\$ 1,501.41	Arden Industries/McPhee
5	2006	\$ 12,348.34	Arsenic/Chlorinator Pump/
6	2007	\$ 88,347.21	Aquacell
7	2008	\$ 20,785.79	Aquacell

Surrebuttal Testimony of Brendan C. Aladi Docket No. W-01782A-14-0084 Page 3

8	2008	\$ (79,900.00)	Reclass to Prepaid Expense
9	2009	\$ (2,860.05)	Reclass to Chemical Feeder
10	Sub Total	\$ 42,792.22	
11	2009	\$ 102,209.50	Arsenic Treatment Plant
12	Total	\$ 145,001.72	

1 2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

Accumulated Depreciation

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q.

21

22

23

What is Staff's recommendation? Q.

Staff continues to recommend the removal of the \$79,990 from account 320, as shown on Α. Surrebuttal Schedules BCA-3 and BCA-5. Effectively, Staff is reversing the Company's 2009 decision to add this back to plant.

How does Staff's recommendation compare to its direct testimony? Q.

A. Staff's recommendation is the same as its direct testimony.

Q. Has Staff reviewed the Company's rebuttal testimony concerning Accumulated

Depreciation?

A. Yes. The Company's rebuttal testimony reflects the accumulated depreciation on the arsenic media.

Does Staff agree? Q.

No. Staff did not include arsenic treatment plant; therefore, Staff did not capture the A. associated depreciation reserve advocated by the Company.

What is Staff's recommendation?

A. Staff recommends that no additional accumulated depreciation related to Water Treatment Plant be reflected.

	outtal Testimony of Brendan C. Aladi et No. W-01782A-14-0084 4
Q.	How does Staff's recommendation compare to its direct testimony?
A.	Staff's recommendation is the same as its direct testimony.
Cash I	Working Capital Allowance
Q.	Has Staff reviewed the Company's rebuttal testimony concerning working capital
	allowance?
A.	Yes. The Company states that "the formula method is a reasonable estimate of what a lead
	lag study would produce without the related expense of a lead lag study."
Q.	Does Staff agree?
A.	No. The formula method always produces a positive amount. Had a lead lag study been
	performed the resulting cash working capital could have been negative. The Company also
	chose not to conduct a lead-lag study, which is required to support working capital for class C
	utilities.
Q.	What is Staff's recommendation?
A.	Staff continues to recommend that the Company's Cash Working Capital using the formula
	method be disallowed.
Q.	How does Staff's recommendation compare to its direct testimony?
A.	Staff's recommendation is the same as its direct testimony.
Q.	What is Staff's recommended rate base?

Staff's recommended rate base continues to be \$461,824 as shown on Surrebuttal Schedule

BCA-3.

		ebuttal Testimony of Brendan C. Aladi ket No. W-01782A-14-0084 5
1	REV	ENUE REQUIREMENT
2	Q.	Has Staff increased its recommended revenue requirement?
3	A.	No.
4		
5	Q.	What is Staff's recommended revenue requirement?
6	A.	Staff's recommended revenue requirement continues to be \$275,902 as shown on Surrebutta
7		Schedule BCA-1.
8		
9	RAT	E DESIGN
0	Q.	Has Staff revised its rate design?
1	A.	No. Staff's rate design has not changed from the direct testimony as shown on Surrebutta
2		Schedule BCA-25.
3		
4	Q.	Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
5	A.	Yes.

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION		 [A] DMPANY RIGINAL COST	[B] STAFF ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>
1	Adjusted Rate Base		\$ 570,570	\$ 461,824
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)		\$ 16,436	\$ 35,570
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		2.88%	7.70%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.85%	7.50%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	Note 1	\$ 50,495	\$ 34,637
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	Note 2 Note 3	\$ 34,059	\$ 3,046
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.2732	1.2784
8	Increase (Decrease) In Gross Revenue (L7 * L6)		\$ 43,349	\$ 3,894
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue		\$ 270,040	\$ 270,040
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)		\$ 313,389	\$ 273,934
11	Required Increase/(Decrease in Revenue) (%) (L8/L9)		16.05%	1.44%

References:

Column [A]: Company Schedules A-1

Column [B]: Staff Schedules BCA-2, BCA-3, & BCA-12

Note:1 Actual calculation results in \$50,495, but the Company requested \$50,482.

Note:2 Staff is recommending \$35,582, although the calculated amount is \$34,637.

Note:3 Although no increase in rates is indicated, Staff recommends this increase to cover test year revenue for declining usage adjustment and small miscellaneous adjustment.

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LIN NO		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1 2 3 4 5 6	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.3142% 99.6858% 21.4600% 78.2258% 1.278350			
	Calculation of Uncollectible Factor: Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100.0000% 20.5250% 79.4750% 0.3953% 0.3142%			
13 14 15 16	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 53) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)	100.0000% 6.5000% 93.5000% 15.0000% 14.0250%	20.5250%		
19 20 21 22	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 20.5250% 79.4750% 100.4807%	0.9350%	21.4600%	
25 26	Required Operating Income AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	_	\$ 3,046		
28	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. [C], L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. [A], L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 5,966 5,179	787		
31 32 33	Recommended Revenue Requirement Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncolllectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L30*L31) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp. (L32-L33)	\$ 273,934 0.3953% \$ 1,083 \$ 1,068	15		
36 37	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue Property Tax on Test Year Revenue Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36) Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L34 + L37)	\$ 9,576 9,531	46 \$ 3,894		
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	Calculation of Income Tax: Revenue Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes Synchronized Interest (L56) Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43) Federal Taxable Income (L42 - L44) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$51,001 - \$75,000) @ 25% Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$335,000) @ 39% Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$10,000,000) @ 34% Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L44 + L51)	Test Year \$ 270,040 \$ \$ 232,337 \$ \$ 12,469 \$ 25,234 6,5000% \$ 1,640 \$ 23,594 \$ 3,539 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,179	3,894 \$	Staff ecommended 273,934 232,398 12,469 29,067 6.5000% 1,889 27,178 4,077 4,077 5,966	
53	Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [C], L51 - Col. [A], L51] / [Col. [C], L	45 - Col. [A], L45]		15.0000%	
55	Calculation of Interest Synchronization: Rate Base Weighted Average Cost of Debt Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)	\$ 461,824 2.7000% \$ 12,469	\$	232,337.00	232,383

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE <u>NO.</u>		C	(A) COMPANY AS FILED		(B) STAFF JSTMENTS	ADJ NO.	A	(C) STAFF AS DJUSTED
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Reserve Net Plant in Service	\$	1,523,414 659,371 864,043	\$	(79,900) (6,343) (73,557)	1 2	\$	1,443,514 653,028 790,486
	<u>LESS:</u>							
4	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)	\$	196,858	\$	-		\$	196,858
5 6 7	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization Net CIAC	\$	359,028 257,496 101,532	\$	6,795 (5,760) 12,555	3 4	\$	365,823 251,736 114,087
8	Total Advances and Contributions	\$	298,390	\$	12,555		\$	310,945
9	Meter Deposits	\$	14,650	\$	-		\$	14,650
10	Customer Security Deposits	\$	3,067	\$	-		\$	3,067
11	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	\$	-	\$	-		\$	-
	ADD: Working Capital	\$	-	\$	-		\$	-
12 13	Cash Working Capital Prepayments	\$ \$	22,634 -	\$ \$	(22,634) -	5	\$ \$	-
14	Total Rate Base	\$	570,570	\$	(108,746)		\$	461,824

References:

Column [A], Company Schedule B-1, Page 1

Column [B]: Schedule BCA-4

Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]

SUMMARY OF RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

	7	[5] AD [No. 1	(5) (7)	C 014 CV				
PLANT IN SERVICE		Water	Accumulated	0.00	Amortization	Working Capital	oital oital	
Acct.	COMPANY	Treatment Plant	Depreciation	CIAC	of CIAC	Prepayments	str	STAFF AS
No Plant Description	AS FILE	=1	Ref: Sch BCA-6	Ref: Sch BCA-7	Ref: Sch BCA-8	Ref: Sch BCA-10	-10	ADJUSTED
301 Organization Cost	\$ 208	' ω	&>	· •	€9	s		508
302 Franchises	787	•	•	•	•			787
303 Land and Land Rights	15,044	•	•	•	•			15,044
304 Structures and Improvements	72,787	•	•	•	•			72.787
	67,868	•	•	•	•			67,868
309 Supply Mains	•	•	•	•	•			•
310 Power Generation Equipment	•	•	•	•	•			
311 Electric Pumping Equipment	77.467	,	•	•	•			77 467
320 Water Treatment Equipment		•		1	•			104'.
320.1 Water Treatment Plant	145 002	(000 02)	,	•	•			' 0
320 2 Chemical Colution Ecodors	100°CT		•	•	•		,	701,60
320 3 Media for Arsenic Treatment	4,034	•	•	1	•		,	4,654
330 Distribution December 230 Character	000,00	•	•	•	•			65,560
220 4 Standard Test voils and Standards	- 101			•				•
- Stolage Lails	979'/61	•	•	1	•			197,626
331 Transmission and Distribution Mains	629,578	•	•	1	•			659,578
333 Services	133,392		•		•			133,392
334 Meters and Meter Installations	40,035		1	•				40,035
335 Hydrants	•		•	•	•		,	1
336 Backflow Prevention Devices	•	•	•	•	•		,	•
339 Other Plant and Miscellaneous Equipment	9,890	•	•		•			9 890
340 Office Furniture and Equipment	278	•	•	•	•			220,0
340.1 Computers and Software	860'9	•	•	•	•			8008
341 Transportation Equipment	20,280	•	,	•	•			20,000
343 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	. 65	•	•	,	•			65
344 Laboratory Equipment			•	,			1	3
345 Power Operated Equipment	•	•	•	•	•			•
346 Communication Equipment	1.855	•	•	•				, 070
347 Miscellaneous Equipment	95	,	•		•			, 90
348 Other Tangible Equipment	4.545	•	•	' '	•			95
Rounding	' '	•	•				1	t,
Total Plant in Service	\$ 1523 414	(70 000)	e	θ	6	6		, ,,,,
Less: Accumulated Depreciation				• •		₽ 4	<i>-</i>	1,443,514
Net Plant in Service	\$ 864,043	1	\$	1 1	ω.	\$	\$	790,486
LESS:	6	•		,				
inces in Aid of Construction (AIAC)	\$ 196,858	, ,	·	ι •	· •>	↔	⇔ '	196,858
	·	•	•	•	•		,	1
Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)		•	•	6,795				365,823
Less: Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$ 257,496				(5,760)	6	•	251,736
let CIAC	.\$ 101,532	₩	٠ ده	\$ 6,795	€9	\$ 0	⇔	114,087
Total Advances and Net Contributions	\$ 298,390	·	· •	\$ 6.795	35 \$ 5760	61	64	310 945
:			-		,	,	+	
Customer Meter Deposits	\$ 14,650	•	•	•	1		69	14,650
Customer Security Deposits	\$ 3,067	•		•	•		₽	3,067
Accumulated Deferred Taxes	, S	í	•	1	•		↔ :	,
ADD: Working Capital	υ. υ.	•	•				A 6	,
Cash Working Capital	22.634	•	•	•	•	(66)	⊕ (VE9 CC)	
-	; ; ;	•	,	•		(77)		•
						•	9	

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT NO. 1 - WATER TREATMENT PLANT

	[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	COMPANY AS FILED	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED
Water Treatment Plant	\$ 145,002	(79,900)	65,102

References:

Column A: Company Schedule B-2 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT NO. 2 - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

		[A]	[B]		[C]
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	COMPANY AS FILED	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	AS	STAFF ADJUSTED
1	Accumulated Depreciation	\$ 659,371	\$ (6,343)	\$	653,028
2					
3					
4 5	Computation:				
6	Compatation.				
7					
8	Water Treatment Plant	\$ 25,855	\$ (6,651)	\$	19,204
9	Arsenic Media	\$ 30,802	\$ 308	\$	31,110
10					
11		\$ 56,657	\$ (6,343)	\$	50,314

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT NO. 3 -CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION ("CIAC")

		[A]		[B]	[C]
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	OMPANY S FILED	ΑD、	STAFF JUSTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED
1	Gross CIAC	\$ 359,028	\$	6,795	\$ 365,823
2					
3					
4					
5	12/31/2009 Ending CIAC Balance				\$ 320,237
6	2010 Net CIAC Additions	2010			7,309
7	2011 Net CIAC Additions	2011			24,529
8	2012 Net CIAC Additions	2012		_	13,748
9					\$ 365,823

References:

Column A: Company's Schedule B-2 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT NO. 4 - AMORTIZATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION ("CIAC")

		[A]		[B]	[C]	
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	COMPANY AS FILED	i	STAFF USTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED	-5496
1	Amortization of CIAC	\$ 257,496		(5,760)	\$ 251,736	
2						
3						•
4						
5		CALCULATION	OF AM	ORTIZATION	OF CIAC	
6				CIAC		Amortization of
7	AIAC Transferred to	CIAC		Additions		CIAC
8	12/31/2009 Ending	CIAC Amort. Balance				\$200,895
9	2010 Net CIAC Ad	ditions	\$	7,309		\$16,102
10	2011 Net CIAC Ad	ditions	\$	24,529		\$16,894
12	2012 Net CIAC Ad	ditions	\$	13,748		\$17,845
13			\$	45,586	_	\$251,736

^{*} Half year convention

References:

Column A: Company Schedule B-2 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT NO. 5 - WORKING CAPITAL

	[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	PER COMPANY	ADJUSTMENT	PER STAFF
Cash Working Capital	\$ 22,634	\$ (22,634)	\$ -

References:

Column A: Company Schedule B-2 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]			[C] STAFF		[D]		[E]
		C	YNAPMC		STAFF			STAFF ST YEAR	S	STAFF		
LINE		TE	ST YEAR	TE	EST YEAR	ADJ		AS	PR(DPOSED		STAFF
<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	<u>A</u>	SFILED	ADJ	<u>USTMENTS</u>	NO.	ΑĽ	DJUSTED	<u>CH</u>	<u>IANGES</u>	REC	OMMENDED
	DEVENUES:											
1	REVENUES: Metered Water Sales	\$	262,282	\$			\$	262,282	\$	3,894	\$	266,176
2	Water Sales - Unmetered	Ф	202,202	Ф	-		Ф	202,202	Ф	3,094	Ф	200,170
3	Other Operating Revenues		7,758		-			7,758				7,758
4	Total Revenues	\$	270,040	\$			\$	270,040	\$	3.894	\$	273,934
5	Total Nevenues	Ψ	270,040	Ψ			Ψ	270,040	Ψ	3,034	Ψ	273,334
6	EXPENSES:											
7	Salaries and Wages	\$	-	\$	_		\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
8	Employee Pensions & Benefits	*	_	•	-		•	_	•	_	*	_
9	Purchased Power		17,285		_			17,285		_		17,285
10	Fuel for Power Production		, <u>-</u>		-			-		_		-
11	Chemicals		191		-			191		-		191
12	Materials & Supplies		5,588		t-			5,588		-		5,588
13	Office Supplies & Expense		10,243		-			10,243		-		10,243
14	Outside Services		107,983		(1,880)	1		106,103		-		106,103
18	Water Testing		6,123		(2,805)	2		3,318				3,318
19	Rents		10,307		(779)	3		9,528		-		9,528
20	Transportation Expenses		7,017		-			7,017		-		7,017
21	Insurance - General Liability		3,926		(447)	4		3,479		-		3,479
22	Insurance - Health and Life		2,988		(2,988)	5		-		-		-
23	Reg. Comm. Exp.		-		-			-		-		
24	Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case		11,667		(2,917)	6		8,750		-		8,750
25	Miscellaneous Expense		-		-			-		-		-
26	Bad Debt Expense		9,367		(8,299)	7		1,068		15		1,083
27	Depreciation Expense		51,585		(1,349)	8		50,236		~		50,236
28	Taxes Other Than Income		554		(554)	9		-		-		-
29	Property Taxes		9,714		(183)	10		9,531		46		9,577
30	Income Taxes		(930)		6,109	11		5,179		790		5,969
31	Not Used		-		-			=		-		-
32	To Reconcile To Company's Application		(4)		4							_
33	Total Operating Expenses	_\$	253,604	\$	(16,088)		\$	237,516	\$	851	_\$	238,368
34	0	•	40.400	•	40.000		•	00.504	•	0.040		
35	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	16,436	\$	16,088	:	\$	32,524	\$	3,043		35,570

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule BCA-12
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules BCA-1 and BCA-2
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

Ξ	Subtotal	000	797,207	7,758	270,040			. ,	17 285	,	191	5.588	10.243	106.103	3,318	9.528	7,017	3,479	; ,		8.750		9.367	51.585	554	9 714	(030)	(222)	4	241,796	28,244
	'nS	l			69																									₩	8
[G] ADJ#6	Rate Case Expense	Ref: Sch BCA-18		•				. ,	,	,	•	ı	,	,	,		,	,		,	(2.917)			,				,		(2,917)	2,917
H			9		↔																									69	8
(F) ADJ #5	Health & Life Insurance Expense	Ref: Sch BCA-17	' '	•	•					1		•	ı	•	٠	•	1	,	(2.988)		•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	,	•		(2,988)	2,988
~12	Life T		9		↔																									9	8
(E) ADJ #4	General Liability Insurance	Ref: Sch BCA-16	9	•	, \$		•	,	i	•	,	,	•	•	ŧ	,		(447)	` '		•	•	•	•	•	•	ı	•	•	\$ (447)	\$ 447
#3	nt inse	Ref: Sch BCA-15	. ,	٠	,					,	•	,			,	(422)	· , •									,			,	(622)	622
[D] ADJ #3	Rent	Ref: Sch)		\$																									S	€9
[F] ADJ #2	Contractual Services Water Testing	Ref: Sch BCA-14	, ,	'	,								•		(2,805)	•	•							•	•	ı				(2,805)	2,805
a l (Se Wate	Ref. S.)		69																									₩	છ
[C] ADJ #1	Outside Services Expense	Ref: Sch BCA-13							•	•				(1,880)		,	•	•	•	ı	•						,	,	,	(1,880)	1,880
∢! (> % 🖫	Ref: S)		↔																									မာ	89
[A]	COMPANY AS FILED	\$ 262.282			\$ 270,040		66	,	17,285	•	191	5,588	10,243	107,983	6,123	10,307	7,017	3,926	2,988	•	11,667	•	6,367	51,585	554	9,714	(830)	•	4	\$ 253,612	\$ 16,428
		<u>REVENUES:</u> Metered Water Sales	Water Sales - Unmetered	Other Operating Revenues	Total Revenues	OPERATING EXPENSES:	Salaries and Wages	Purchased Water	Purchased Power	Fuel for Power Production	Chemicals	Materials & Supplies	Office Supplies & Expense	Outside Services	Water Testing	Rents	Transportation Expenses	Insurance - General Liability	Insurance - Health and Life	Reg. Comm. Exp.	Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case	Miscellaneous Expense	Bad Debt Expense	Depreciation Expense	Taxes Other Than Income	Property Taxes	Income Taxes	Not Used	To Reconcile To Company's Application	Total Operating Expenses	Operating Income (Loss)
	N S	·	. 2	დ .	4 (ഗധ	^	ø	6	10	7	12	13	14	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	56	27	28	58	30	31	32	88 8	32

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 1 - TEST YEAR CONTINUED

<u>Z</u>	STAFF	ADJUSTED		262,282		7.758	\$ 270,040			•	•	17.285		191	5.588	10.243	106,103	3,318	9.528	7.017	3,479		•	8.750		1,068	50,236	. •	9.531	5,179		4	\$ 237,519	\$ 32,524
	Not	Osed	Ref: Sch BCA-25	•			9			•			•			•	•	•			•	•	•		,		•	t	•				· •	- چ
[M] ADJ.# 11	Income Tax	Expense	Ref: Sch BCA-24				,			,	•		•	•	,	,	•			•	•	•	r	1	,	•	ı	r		6,109		,	6,109	(6,109)
[L] <u>ADJ #10</u>	Property Tax	Expense	Sch BCA-23 Re	5		,	9			Ü	,	ij	ı	•		,		1	1	1	,	1		ì				ı	(183)	, '	1		(183)	183 \$
	Other Than Pr	ncome	Ref: Sch BCA-22 Ref	5		,	\$				•	•	•	•	,	,		,	,	,	ı	ı	,	,	1	,	,	(554)	. '				(554)	554 \$
[J] ADJ #8	Depreciation Ot		12		•	,	جه ا ا						•						•			,	,				(1,349)			•	•	•	(1,349) \$	1,349 \$
- A	Depre	Exp	_				4																										49	8
[I] ADJ #7 Bad	Debt	Expense	Ref: Sch BCA-20	ь В	•	1	•			•	•	•	•	1	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	(8,299)	•	•	•	•	•	•	\$ (8,299)	\$ 8,299
		DESCRIPTION	REVENUES:	Metered Water Sales	Water Sales - Unmetered	Other Operating Revenues	Total Revenues		OPERATING EXPENSES:	Salaries and Wages	Purchased Water	Purchased Power	Fuel for Power Production	Chemicals		Office Supplies & Expense		Water Testing	Rents	Transportation Expenses	Insurance - General Liability	Insurance - Health and Life	Reg. Comm. Exp.	Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case	Miscellaneous Expense	Bad Debt Expense	Depreciation Expense	Taxes Other Than Income	Property Taxes	Income Taxes	Not Used	To Reconcile To Company's Application	Total Operating Expenses	Operating Income (Loss)
	LINE	8			7	က	4	ß	9	7	œ	თ	10	=	12	13	14	18	19	50	21	22	23	24	52	26	27	28	58	30	31	32	33	32

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 1 - OUTSIDE SERVICES EXPENSE

	[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	COMPANY AS FILED	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED
Outside Services	\$ 107,983	\$ (1,880)	\$ 106,103

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 2 - CONTRACT SRVCS., WATER TESTING EXPENSE

			[A]	 [B]	[C]
LINE NO. DES	CRIPTION	1	MPANY FILED	 AFF TMENTS	STAFF ADJUSTED
Cont	ractual Services - Water Testing	\$	6.123	\$ (2.805)	\$ 3,318

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 3 - RENTS EXPENSE

	[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	COMPANY AS FILED	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED
Rents Expense	\$ 10,307	\$ (779)	\$ 9,528

	<u> </u>		1
		Rents	
		Expense	
2012 Land Lease Expense	\$	2,554	BCA 3.2
Equipment Rental Expense	\$	374	BCA 2.8
Office Rent Expense	\$	6,600	BCA 3.2
Staff's Adjusted		9,528	

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1 & E-2

Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi; Data Request BCA 3.2

Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 4 - GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE EXPENSE

	[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	COMPANY AS FILED	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED
General Liability Insurance Expense	\$ 3,926	\$ (447)	\$ 3,479

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1

Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi; Date Request BCA-2.10

Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 5 - HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE EXPENSE

		[A]		[B]	[C]
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	1	COMPANY AS FILED A		TAFF STMENTS	STA AS ADJ	
Health and Life Insurance Expense	\$	2,988	\$	(2,988)	\$	-

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 6 - RATE CASE EXPENSE

	[A]		[B]	[C]	
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	COMPA AS FIL		STAFF JSTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED)
Rate Case Expense	\$ 11	,667 \$	(2,917)	\$ 8,75	0

	Per	Company	Difference	 Per Staff	
	\$	35,000		\$ 35,000	
Divided by		3		4	
	\$	11,667		\$ 8,750	

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 7 - BAD DEBT EXPENSE

	[A]	[A] [B]		[C]
			STAFF	
LINE	COMPANY		JUSTMENTS	1
NO. DESCRIPTION	AS FILED	_	ol C - Col A)	AS ADJUSTED
1 Bad Debt Expense	\$ 9,367	\$	(8,299)	\$ 1,068
2				
3				
4				
5			Bad Debt	
6			Expense	
7	Year		Write-off	
8	2003	\$	0.25	•
9	2004	\$	217.33	
10	2005	\$	810.02	
11	2006	\$	1,369.37	
12	2007	\$	756.88	
13	2008	\$	1,602.45	
14	2009	\$	1,238.56	
15	2010	\$	1,567.24	
	2011	\$	2,045.66	
	Total	\$	9,607.76	ı
	Divided by		9	Years
	=	\$	1,068	•
			•	
		\$	270,040	Test Year Revenue
				Average write-off rate

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-2

Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi; Company Data Request Responses to BCA 2.13 Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 8 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ON TEST YEAR PLANT

			[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]
			PLANT In	NonDepreciable	DEPRECIABLE		DEPRECIATION
LINE			SERVICE	or Fully Depreciated	PLANT	DEPRECIATION	EXPENSE
NO.	DE	ESCRIPTION	Per Staff	PLANT	(Col A - Col B)	RATE	(Col C x Col D)
1	301 Or	rganization Cost	\$ 508	\$ 508	•	0.00%	\$ -
2	302 Fra	anchises	787	787	-	0.00%	-
3	303 Lar	nd and Land Rights	15,044	15,044	-	0.00%	-
4	304 Str	ructures and improvements	72,787	-	72,787	3.33%	2,424
5	306 Lak	ke, River, and Other Intakes	-	-	-	2.50%	-
6	307 We	ells and Springs	67,868	-	67,868	3.33%	2,260
7	309 Suj	ipply Mains	-	-	-	2.00%	-
8	310 Po	ower Generation Equipment	-	-	-	5.00%	-
9	311 Pu	Imping Equipment	77,467	18,083	59,384	12.50%	7,423
10	320.1 Wa	ater Treatment Plant	65,102	-	65,102	3.33%	2,168
11	320.2 Ch	nemical Solution Feeders	4,654	1,794	2,860	20.00%	572
12	320.3 Me	edia for Arsenic Treatment	65,560		65,560	33.33%	21,853
13	330 Dis	stribution Reservoirs and Standpipes	-	-	-	2.22%	-
14	330.2 Sto	orage Tanks	197,626	-	197,626	5.00%	9,881
15	331 Tra	ansmission and Distribution Mains	659,578	-	659,578	2.00%	13,192
16	333 Ser	ervices	133,392	-	133,392	3.33%	4,442
17	334 Me	eters and Meter Installations	40,035	-	40,035	8.33%	3,335
18	335 Hy	rdrants	-	-	-	2.00%	-
19	336 Ba	ackflow Prevention Devices	-	-	-	6.67%	-
20	339 Oth	her Plant and Miscellaneous Equipment	9,890	-	9,890	6.67%	660
21	340 Offi	fice Furniture and Equipment	278	-	278	6.67%	19
22	340.1 Co	omputers and Software	6,098	6,098	-	20.00%	-
23	341 Tra	ansportation Equipment	20,280	20,280	-	20.00%	-
24	343 Too	ols, Shop, and Garage Equipment	65	65	+	5.00%	-
25	344 Lat	boratory Equipment	-	-	-	10.00%	-
26	345 Po	wer Operated Equipment	-	-	-	5.00%	-
27	346 Co	ommunication Equipment	1,855	-	1,855	10.00%	186
28	347 Mis	scellaneous Equipment	95	=	95	10.00%	10
29	348 Oth	her Tangible Equipment	4,545	4,545		10.00%	
30	Tot	otal Plant	\$ 1,443,514	\$ 67,204	\$ 1,376,310		\$ 68,423
31							
32							
22							

41 42

Composite Depreciation Rate (Depr Exp / Depreciable Plant):	4.97%
CIAC:	\$ 365,823
Amortization of CIAC (Line 31 x Line 32):	\$ 18,187
Depreciation Expense Before Amortization of CIAC:	\$ 68,423
Less Amortization of CIAC:	\$ 18,187
Test Year Depreciation Expense - Staff:	\$ 50,236
Depreciation Expense - Company:	51,585
Staff's Total Adjustment:	\$ (1,349)

References:
Column [A]: Schedule BCA-4
Column [B]: From Column [A]
Column [C]: Column [A] - Column [B]
Column [D]: Engineering Staff Report
Column [E]: Column [C] x Column [D]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 9 - TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME

	γ	[A]	[B]		[C]	
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION		COMPANY AS FILED	STAI ADJUSTI	· •	STAFF AS ADJUSTED	
Taxes Other Than Income	\$	554	\$	(554)	\$	_

References:

Column A: Company Schedule C-1 Column B: Testimony, Brendan Aladi Column C: Column [A] + Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 10 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
LINE		S	TAFF		STAFF
NO.	Property Tax Calculation	AS AI	DJUSTED	RECO	DMMENDED
		•		-	
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues	\$	270,040	\$	270,040
2	Weight Factor		2		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		540,080	\$	540,080
4	Staff Recommended Revenue, Per Schedule BCA-1		270,040	\$	273,934
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		810,120		814,014
6	Number of Years		3		. 3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		270,040	\$	271,338
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		540,080	\$	542,676
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP -		, -		, -
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		_	\$	-
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		540,080	\$	542,676
13	Assessment Ratio		19.0%	•	19.0%
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		102,615	\$	103,108
15	Composite Property Tax Rate		9.2877%	•	9.2877%
	, , ,			\$	-
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax (Line 14 • Line 15)	\$	9,531	•	
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	•	9,714		
			-,		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16-Line 17)	\$	(183)		
19	Property Tax - Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)		\$	9,576
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)	,		\$	9,531
21	Increase in Property Tax Expense Due to Increase in Revenue I	Require	ment	\$	46
	. , ,	•			
22	Increase to Property Tax Expense			\$	46
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			•	3,894
24	Increase to Property Tax per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line1	9/Line	20)		100%
		-	,		· · •

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT NO. 11 - TEST YEAR INCOME TAXES

LINE NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>		(A)		(B)
9 10 11 12	Calculation of Income Tax: Revenue Less: Operating Expenses - Excluding Income Taxes Less: Synchronized Interest (L17) Arizona Taxable Income (L1- L2 - L3) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L4 x L5) Federal Taxable Income (L4 - L6) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$51,001 - \$75,000) @ 25% Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$10,000,000) @ 39% Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$10,000,000) @ 34%	T	270,040 232,340 12,469 25,231 6.500% 23,591 3,539	\$	1,640
13 14	Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L6 + L13)			\$ \$	3,539 5,179
15 16 17	<u>Calculation of Interest Synchronization</u> : Rate Base Weighted Average Cost of Debt Synchronized Interest (L16 x L17)	\$	461,824 2.70% 12,469		
18 19 20	Income Tax - Per Staf Income Tax - Per Company Staff Adjustment	<u> </u>	5,179 (930) 6,109		

	CASH FLOW ANALYSIS						
Line No.							
1	INCOME STATEMENT	Staff Proposed TATEMENT Revenues and Expenses					
2							
3	Operating Revenue						
4	Metered Water Revenue	\$	266,176				
5	Unmetered Water Revenues	\$	- 7.750				
6 7	Other Water Revenues Total Operating Rev:	<u>\$</u> \$	7,758 273,934				
8	Total Operating Nev.	Φ	273,934				
9	Operating Expenses						
10	601 Salaries and Wages	\$	-				
11	610 Purchased Water	\$	-				
12	615 Purchased Power	\$	17,285				
13	618 Chemicals	\$	191				
14	620 Materials and Supplies	***	5,588				
15	620 Repairs and Maintenance	\$	-				
15	621 Office Supplies & Expense	\$	10,243				
16	630 Contractual Services	\$	106,103				
17	635 Water Testing	\$	3,318				
18 19	641 Rents	ъ Ф	9,528				
20	650 Transportation Expenses 657 Insurance - General Liability	Φ ¢	7,017 3,479				
21	659 Insurance - Health and Life	φ \$	5,479				
22	666 Regulatory Comm Exp - Rate Case	\$	8,750				
23	675 Miscellaneous Expense	\$	-				
24	670 Bad Debt Expense	\$	1,068				
25	403 Depreciation Expense	\$	50,236				
26	408 Taxes Other Than Income	\$, <u>-</u>				
27	408.11 Property Taxes	\$	9,531				
28	409 Income Tax		5,179				
29	Not Used	\$	<u> </u>				
30	Total Operating Expense	\$	237,515				
31							
32	Operating Income	\$	36,419				
33	Interest Income	æ					
34 35	Interest Expense on Long term debt	\$	- 17.006				
36	Interest Expense on Long-term debt Total Other Interest Expense	\$	17,926				
37	Total Other Interest Expense	Ψ	(17,926)				
38	Net Income	\$	18,493				
39		Ψ	10,400				
40	Rate Base	\$	465,297				
41			,				
42	Rate of Return (Line 30 / Line 38)		7.83%				
43							
44	Operating Margin (Line 30 / Line 7)		13.29%				
45							
46	Principal Repayment	\$	34,396				
47	****	•	40.000				
48	AIAC and Customers deposit (Refunds)	\$	10,360				
49 50	Cook Flow (L 22 + L25 - L44 + 45)	æ	22.072				
50 51	Cash Flow (L 32 + L25 - L44 -L45)	\$	23,973				
51 52	TIER						
53	Before Tax: [L 28 + L 32] ÷ L 35		2.32				
54	After Tax: L32 ÷ L 35		2.03				
55	DSC		2.00				
56	Before Tax: [L 25 + L28+ L 32] ÷ [L 35 + L 46]		1.76				
57	After Tax : [L 25 + L 32] ÷ [L 35 + L 46] (WIFA)		1.66				

Comparison of Last Rate Case Application to General Ledger

[Per A	pplication				
	of La	st RateCase	Difference	Р	er Co.'s G/L	
12/31/1997			\$ 3,147.82	\$	3,147.82	FA Adj
12/31/1999			\$ 368.70	\$	368.70	Deposits
Beg. Balance	\$	2,569	\$ (3,516.00)	\$	(947.00)	Staff Adjustment
2003	\$	1	\$ (1.00)	\$	-	
2004	\$	1,501	\$ 0.41	\$	1,501.41	Arden Industries/McPhee Environ.
2005	\$	-	\$ _			
2006	\$	12,348	\$ 0.34	\$	12,348.34	Arsenic/Chlorinator Pump/
2007	\$	88,347	\$ 0.21	\$	88,347.21	Aquacell
2008	\$	37,938	\$ (17,152.21)	\$	20,785.79	Aquacell
2008	\$	-	\$ (79,900.00)	\$	(79,900.00)	Reclass to Prepaid Expense
2009	\$	2,298	\$ (5,158.05)	\$	(2,860.05)	Reclass to Chemical Feeder
_	\$	145,002.00	\$ (102,209.78)	\$	42,792.22	
2009	\$		\$ 102,209.50	\$	102,209.50	Arsenic Treatment Plant
	\$	145,002.00	\$ (0.28)	\$	145,001.72	

Monthly Usage Charge	Present	Company Proposed Rates	Staff Recommended Rates
Meter Size (All Classes):			
5/8 x 3/4 Inch	\$ 14.00	\$ 18.34	\$ 14.00
3/4 Inch	21.00	27.51	21.00
1 Inch	35.00	45.85	35.00
1 1/2 Inch	70.00	91.70	70.00
2 Inch 3 Inch	112.00 224.00	146.72	112.00
4 Inch	350.00	293.44 458.50	224.00 350.00
6 Inch	700.00	917.00	700.00
8 Inch		-	-
Commodity Charge - Per 1,000 Gallons			
5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter			
From 1 to 3,000 gallons	2.2500	2.5400	\$ 2.2520
From 3,001 to 10,000 gallons Over 10,000 gallons	3.8000 6.0000	4.0400 6.1400	3.8000
•	6.0000	6.1400	6.0000
3/4-Inch Meter From 1 to 3,000 gallons	2.2500	0.5400	
From 3,001 to 10,000 gallons	3.8000	2.5400 4.0400	\$ 2.2520 3.8000
Over 10,000 gallons	6.0000	6.1400	6.0000
		0.7700	0.0000
1" Meter From 1 to 15,000 gallons	3.3000	4.0400	
Over 15,000 gallons	3.9000	6.1400	3.8000
From 1 to 16,000 gallons	N/A	4.0400	6.0000 N/A
Over 16,000 gallons	N/A	6.1400	N/A
1 1/2" Meter			
From 1 to 30,000 gallons	3.3000	4.0400	3.8000
Over 30,000 gallons	3.9000	6.1400	6.0000
OP Maday			
2" Meter From 1 to 45,000 gallons	3.3000	4.0400	0.000
Over 45,000 gallons	3.9000	6.1400	3.8000 6.0000
-	1	511.100	0.0000
3" Meter From 1 to 90,000 gallons			
Over 90,000 gallons	3.3000 3.9000	4.0400 6.1400	3.8000
	3.3000	6.1400	6.0000
4" Meter			
From 1 to 145,000 gallons Over 145,000 gallons	3.3000	4.0400	3.8000
Over 145,000 gallons	3.9000	6.1400	6.0000
6" Meter			
From 1 to 300,000 gallons	3.3000	4.0400	3.8000
Over 300,000 gallons	3.9000	6.1400	6.0000
Standpipe/ Coin Operated			
Per 1000 Gallons	3.9000	6.2500	6.0000
Service Line and Meter Installation Charges			
Establishment	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
Establishment (After Hours)	\$ 40.00	40.00	N/A
Reconnection (Delinquent) Reconnection Delinquent (After Hours)	\$ 50.00 \$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
Meter Test (If Correct)	\$ 50.00 \$ 50.00	\$ 50.00 \$ 50.00	N/A
Deposit (Residential Meter)	\$ 50.00 (a)	\$ 50.00 (a)	\$ 50.00
Deposit (Non-Residential Meter)	(a)	N/A	(a) (a)
Deposit Interest	6.00%	6.00%	6.00%
Re-establishment (within 12 months)	(b)	**	(b)
Re-establishment (After hours)	(b)	**	N/A
NSF Check Deferred Payment (per month)	\$ 25.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
Late Payment Fee (per month)	1.50% 1.50%	1.50% 1.50%	1.50%
Meter Re-read (if correct)	\$ 20.00	\$ 10.00	1.50% \$ 10.00
After Hour Service Charge (at customers request)	N/A	N/A	\$ 10.00
Fire Sprinkler (All Meter Sizes)	(c)	N/A	(c)
		·	ζ-,

(a) Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residential - two and one-half times the average bill. R14-2-403(B)(7).
 (b) Months of system times the minimium. Per Commission Rule (R14-2-403D)
 (c) 2 percent of the monthly minimum for a comparable size meter connection but not less than \$10 per month.

In addition to the collection of regular rates, the utility will collect from its customers a proportionate share of of any privilege, sales, use and franchise tax. R14-2-409(D)(5).

All advances and/or contributions are to include labor, materials, overheads and all applicable taxes. Cost to include labor, materials and parts, overheads and all applicable taxes.

Service Line and Meter Installation Charges

		Company Proposed					Staff Recommended					
	Company	Service					Service					
	Current	Line Meter		Total		Line		Meter		Total		
	Rates	Charge	Charge		Charge		Charge		Charge	Charge		
5/8" x 3/4" Meter	\$ 425	380	\$	95	\$	475	380	\$	95	-\$	475	
3/4" Meter	\$ 450	335	\$	165	\$	500	335	\$	165	\$	500	
1" Meter	\$ 500	350	\$	200	\$	550	350	\$	200	Š	550	
1-1/2" Meter	\$ 700	470	\$	430	\$	900	470	\$	430	\$	900	
2" Meter	\$ 1,125	590	\$	735	\$	1,325	590	\$	735	\$	1,325	
3" Meter	\$ 1,505	660	\$	1,045	\$	1,705	660	\$	1.045	Š	1,705	
4" Meter	\$ 2,340	910	\$	1,630	\$	2,540	910	\$	1,630	Š	2,540	
6" Meter	\$ 4,445	1410	\$	3.235	\$	4,645	1,410	Š	3,235	\$	4,645	

Typical Bill Analysis Residential 5/8 Inch Meter

Surrebuttal Schedule BCA-26

Company Proposed	Gallons		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Present Rates	F	Proposed Rates		Dollar Increase		Percent Increase
Average Usage	5,717		\$	33.80	\$	39.84	\$	6.03		17.85%
Median Usage	5,500			28.76		34.48	\$	5.72		19.87%
Staff Recommended	<u>i</u>									
Average Usage	5,717		\$	33.80	\$	33.80	\$	-		0.00%
Median Usage	5,500			28.76		28.76	\$	-		0.00%
		Present		sed Rates (W tial 5/8 Inch M						
Gallons	Present			Company Proposed		%	Red	Staff commended		%
Γ	5/8 x 3/4"			5/8 x 3/-	4"			5/8 x 3/4'	,	
	Minimum Charge	\$ 14.00	Minin	num Charge		18.34	Minin	num Charge		14.00
	1st Tier Rate	2.2500	1	st Tier Rate		2.5400		st Tier Rate		2.2500
	1st Tier Breakover	3,000		r Breakover		3,000		er Breakover		3,000
	2nd Tier Rate	3.8000		nd Tier Rate		4.0400		nd Tier Rate		3.8000
	2nd Tier Breakover	10,000		r Breakover		10,000		er Breakover		10,000
1	3rd Tier Rate	6.0000	3	rd Tier Rate		6.1400	3	ird Tier Rate		6.0000
Consumption	Rates			Rates	Inc	rease		Rates	Inci	rease
-	\$ 14.00		\$	18.34		31.00%	\$	14.00		0.00%
1,000	16.25			20.88		28.49%		16.25		0.00%
2,000	18.50			23.42		26.59%		18.50		0.00%
3,000 4,000	20.75 24.55			25.96 30.00		25.11% 22.20%		20.75 24.55		0.00% 0.00%
5,000	28.35			34.04		20.07%		28.35		0.00%
5,109	28.76			34.48		19.87%		28.76		0.00%
6,000	32.15			38.08		18.44%		32.15		0.00%
6,435	33.80			39.84		17.85%		33.80		0.00%
7,000	35.95			42.12		17.16%		35.95		0.00%
8,000	39.75			46.16		16.13%		39.75		0.00%
9,000 10,000	43.55 47.35			50.20 54.24		15.27% 14.55%		43.55 47.35		0.00% 0.00%
11,000	53.35			60.38		13.18%		53.35		0.00%
12,000	59.35			66.52		12.08%		59.35		0.00%
13,000	65.35			72.66		11.19%		65.35		0.00%
14,000	71.35			78.80		10.44%		71.35		0.00%
15,000	77.35			84.94		9.81%		77.35		0.00%
16,000 17,000	83.35 89.35			91.08 97.22		9.27% 8.81%		83.35		0.00%
18,000	95.35			103.36		8.81% 8.40%		89.35 95.35		0.00% 0.00%
19,000	101.35			109.50		8.04%		101.35		0.00%
20,000	107.35			115.64		7.72%		107.35		0.00%
25,000	137.35			146.34		6.55%		137.35		0.00%
30,000	167.35			177.04		5.79%		167.35		0.00%
35,000	197.35			207.74		5.26%		197.35		0.00%
40,000	227.35			238.44		4.88%		227.35		0.00%
45,000 50,000	257.35 287.35			269.14		4.58% 4.35%		257.35		0.00%
50,000 75,000	287.35 437.35			299.84 453.34		4.35% 3.66%		287.35 437.35		0.00% 0.00%
100,000	587.35			606.84		3.32%		587.35		0.00%

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

BOB STUMP

INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES

FOR UTILITY SERVICE.

Chairman		
GARY PIERCE		
Commissioner		
BRENDA BURNS		
Commissioner		
SUSAN BITTER SMITH		
Commissioner		
BOB BURNS		
Commissioner		
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. W-01782A-14-0084
ABRA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA)	
CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION)	
OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS)	
UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR)	

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

CRYSTAL S. BROWN

EXECUTIVE CONSULTANT III

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

SEPTEMBER 15, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAG</u>	E
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	STAFF'S RESPONSE TO COMPANY'S COST OF CAPITAL WITNESS MR. THOMAS J. BOURASSA	_
III.	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS	5

SURREBUTTAL SCHEDULES

Capital Structure and Weighted Cost of Capital	CSB-1
Intentionally Left Blank	CSB-2
Final Cost of Equity Estimates for Sample Water Utilities	CSB -3
Average Capital Structure of Sample Water Utilities	CSB -4
Growth in Earnings & Dividends of Sample Water Utilities	CSB -5
Sustainable Growth for Sample Water Utilities	CSB -6
Selected Financial Data of Sample Water Utilities	CSB -7
Calculation of Expected Infinite Annual Growth in Dividends	CSB -8
Multi-Stage DCF Estimates	CSB -9
Cost of Capital Calculation Capitalization	CSB -10

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ABRA WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. W-01782A-14-0084

The surrebuttal testimony of Staff witness Crystal S. Brown addresses the following issues:

<u>Capital Structure</u> – Staff continues to recommend that the Commission adopt a capital structure for Abra Water Company ("Abra" or "Company") for this proceeding consisting of 51.4 percent debt and 48.6 percent equity.

Cost of Equity – Staff recommends that the Commission adopt a 9.6 percent cost of equity for the Company. Staff's estimated cost of equity for the Company is based on the 9.0 percent average of its discounted cash flow method ("DCF") cost of equity methodology estimates for the sample companies of 8.6 percent for the constant-growth DCF model and 9.3 percent for the multi-stage DCF models. Staff's recommended cost of equity includes an upward economic assessment adjustment of 60 basis points (0.6 percent).

<u>Cost of Debt</u> – Staff recommends that the Commission adopt a 5.2 percent cost of debt for the Company.

Overall Rate of Return – Staff recommends that the Commission adopt an 7.4 percent overall rate of return which is the midpoint between the 7.2 percent and 7.5 percent rates of return shown on Schedule CSB-1a.

Mr. Bourassa's Testimony – Staff continues to recommend that the Commission reject the Company's proposed 11.00 percent return on equity ("ROE") because it was derived using only the Build-Up method.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Crystal S. Brown Docket No. W-01782A-14-0084 Page 1

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- A. My name is Crystal S. Brown. I am an Executive Consultant III employed by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") in the Utilities Division ("Staff"). My business address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

- Q. Are you the same Crystal S. Brown who filed direct testimony in this case?
- A. Yes, I am.

- Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony in this rate proceeding?
- A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to update Staff's cost of capital recommendations and to respond to the cost of capital rebuttal testimony of Abra Water Company's ("Abra" or "Company") witness, Thomas J. Bourassa.

- Q. Please explain how Staff's surrebuttal testimony is organized.
- A. Staff's surrebuttal testimony is presented in three sections. Section I is this introduction. Section II presents Staff's comments on the rebuttal testimony of the Company's cost of capital witness, Mr. Bourassa. Lastly, Section III presents Staff's recommendations.

- II. STAFF'S RESPONSE TO COMPANY'S COST OF CAPITAL WITNESS MR.
 THOMAS J. BOURASSA
- Q. Please summarize the capital structure, cost of debt, cost of equity, and overall weighted average cost of capital proposed in Mr. Bourassa's rebuttal testimony.
- A. In his rebuttal testimony, Mr. Bourassa is proposing a capital structure consisting of 51.49 percent debt and 48.51 percent equity. Mr. Bourassa continues to recommend an 11.00

Surrebuttal Testimony of Crystal S. Brown
Docket No. W-01782A-14-0084
Page 2

percent cost of equity for the Company. Mr. Bourassa's cost of capital recommendations 1 2 result in an overall rate of return ("ROR") for Abra of 8.85 percent. 3 4 Q. Did Mr. Bourassa use only one method to derive the Company's cost of equity 5 ("COE")? 6 Yes. Mr. Bourassa used the Build-Up Method. A. 7 8 Q. What is the Build-Up method? 9 A. The Build-Up Method is an additive model in which the return on a security is the sum of a 10 risk-free rate and one or more risk premia. 11 12 Q. What does Mr. Bourassa state concerning relying upon more than one method to 13 determine the COE? 14 On page 15, beginning at line 15 of his rebuttal testimony, he states: A. 15 16 The academic literature indicates the need to rely upon more than one 17 method in arriving at a recommended common equity cost rate. This is 18 because each method requires the use of considerable judgment on 19 the reasonableness of the underlying assumptions underlying the 20 theoretical basis of each model. Emphasis added. 22 Q. Does Staff agree that more than one method should be used to estimate the COE?

21

23

24

25

A. When available and possible, yes. Staff utilizes the Constant Growth Discounted Cash Flow method ("DCF"), the Multi-Stage DCF method and, when appropriate, the Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM") method.

26

Q. What is the primary problem with using only one methodology?

- A. The problem with using one COE methodology is that the COE may be over or under stated.
- Q. Using data from some of Mr. Bourassa's recent cases, can Staff provide examples of how the COE derived from the Build-Up Method compares to the DCF and CAPM methods?
- A. Yes, Staff has prepared Table A. This table presents COEs derived by Mr. Bourassa in recent proceedings using the DCF, CAPM, and Build-Up methodologies. Table A shows that the Build-Up methodology produced a higher COE in three of the five cases.

		TABLE	A		
MEDIAN RE	SULTS USI	NG DCF, CA	APM, & BU	ILD-UP METI	HODS
	Utility Source,	Lago Del Oro	Payson Water	Verde Santa Fe	Las Quintas
	LLC	Water Co.	Co.	Wastewater Co.	Serenas Water Co.
Docket No.	13-0331	13-0215	13-0111	13-0292	13-0117
DCF Method	8.5%	8.7%	9.2%	8.5%	9.2%
CAPM Method	9.9%	9.5%	10.5%	9.6%	10.5%
Build-Up Method	11.7%	11.1%	10.1%	11.7%	10.1%
Midpoint	10.1%	9.9%	9.9%	10.1%	9.9%
Company Proposed COE	11.0%	10.5%	10.5%	11.0%	12.5%

Q. How does the COE derived from the Build-Up method compare to the midpoint of the COEs derived from the DCF and the CAPM methods?

A. As shown in Table B below, the COE derived from the Build-Up method (Line 1) is higher than the midpoint of the DCF and the CAPM methods (Line 5). In some cases, it is more than 200 basis points higher (Line 7).

<u> </u>			TABLE	В		
	COMPARISON O	F BUILD	-UP COE T	O DCF & CA	APM MIDPOI	NT COE
		Utility Source, LLC	Lago Del Oro Water Co.	Payson Water Co.	Verde Santa Fe Wastewater Co.	Las Quintas Serenas Water Co.
1	Build-Up Method	11.7%	11.1%	10.1%	11.7%	10.1%
2						
3	DCF	8.5%	8.7%	9.2%	8.5%	9.2%
4	CAPM	9.9%	9.5%	<u>10.5%</u>	9.6%	<u>10.5%</u>
5	Midpoint [(L3+L4)/2]	9.2%	9.1	9.85	9.05	9.85
6						
7	Difference (L1-L5)	2.5%	2.0%	0.25%	2.65%	.25%

7

8

9

Q. Is the Build-Up Method taught in any National Association of Regulatory Utility

Commissioners ("NARUC") sponsored training classes?

1011

A. No, not that Staff is aware. Further, the Build-Up method is not in widespread use among public utility commissions.

12

13

Q. Did Staff review Mr. Bourassa's rebuttal testimony on page 16, line 19 which indicates that Staff ignored the CAPM method in this case?

1415

16

A. Yes. Staff performed a CAPM analysis but chose not to include the result in Staff's analysis as Staff believes it does not currently reflect market conditions.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Crystal S. Brov	wn
Docket No. W-01782A-14-0084	
Page 5	

1	Q.	Did Staff review Mr. Bourassa's rebuttal testimony on page 17, line 3½ that
2		recommends that an economic assessment adjustment ("EAA") of 100 to 200 basis
3		points be adopted?
4	A.	Yes.
5		
6	Q.	Does Staff agree?
7	A.	No, Staff does not agree.
8		
9	Q.	Did Staff review Mr. Bourassa's rebuttal testimony concerning the debt issuance
10		costs?
11	A.	Yes.
12		
13	Q.	Does Staff agree?
14	A.	No. In response to a Staff data request regarding the debt issuance costs, Mr. Bourassa
15		contacted Staff and indicated that the interest rates used in Staff's direct testimony included
16		the administrative fees (i.e., debt issuance costs). Therefore, no change was necessary.
17		
18	III.	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
19	Q.	In updating its cost of capital analysis for the Company, did Staff's recommended
20		ROE and overall ROR change from the levels recommended by Staff in direct
21		testimony?
22	A.	Yes. Staff now recommends a ROE of 9.6 percent instead of 9.5 percent. As a consequence
23		of this change, Staff's recommended overall ROR increased from 7.3 percent in direct
24		testimony to 7.4 percent in surrebuttal.
25		

21

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Capital Structure And Weighted Average Cost of Capital Staff Recommended and Company Proposed

Company Proposed Structure Debt Common Equity Weighted Average Cost of Capital	Staff Recommended Structure Debt Common Equity Weighted Average Cost of Capital	Description	[A]
51.49% 48.51%	51.4% 48.6%	Weight (%)	[B]
6.82% 11.00%	5.2% 9.6%	Cost	[0]
3.51% 5.34% 8.85%	2.7% 4.7% 7.4%	Weighted Cost	[0]

[D] : [B] x [C]

Supporting Schedules: CSB-2, CSB-3 and CSB-4.

Capital Structure And Weighted Average Cost of Capital Low and High Values of Range

[A]	[8]	[0]	[D]	
Description	Weight (%)	<u>Cost</u>	Weighted Cost (Col A x Col B)	
Low Value of Range	۶1 4 %	5.2%		
Debt Common Equity (Using 8.5% Constant Growth DCF) Weighted Average Cost of Capital - Low Value of Range	48.6%	9.2% Line 36, Col. E	E 4.5% 7.2%	
High Value of Range Debt	51.4%	5.2%		
Common Equity (Using 9.2% Multi-Stage DCF) Weighted Average Cost of Capital - High Value of Range	48.6%	9.9% Line 52, Col. E	E 4.8% 7.5%	
[D]: [B] x [C]				
Supporting Schedules: CSB-2, CSB-3 and CSB-4,		ALMONDET TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL		
	3			<u> </u>
[A] [B]				-
	D,/I	+	11	Ι×
Constant Growth DCF Estimate	2.9%	9% + 5.7%	11	8.6%
	Staff's	Staff's Estimated Cost of Equity		8.6%
	Economic	Economic Assessment Adjustment Sub-Total		9.2%
1 MSN Money and Value Line	711	Financial Risk Adjustment Total		9.2%
2 ScheduleCSB-8				
				9.3%
Muit-Stage DCF Estimate				
	Staff's	Staff's Estimated Cost of Equity		9.3%
	Economic	Economic Assessment Adjustment		0.6%
	П	er - Colore		0.0%
		Tinancial XISK Adjustinent		

Intentionally left blank

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Final Cost of Equity Estimates Sample Water Utilities

	DCF Method Constant Growth DCF Estimate Multi-Stage DCF Estimate Average DCF Estimate	[A]
		[B]
Staff's Estimated Cost of Equity Economic Assessment Adjustment Sub-Total Financial Risk Adjustment	<u>D./P.</u> + 2.9% +	[C]
ed Cost of Equity ment Adjustment Sub-Total Risk Adjustment	. <u>g</u> 2 5.7%	[0]
	H H H	
9.0% 0.6% 9.6% 9.6%	8.6% 9.3% 9.0%	[E]

¹ MSN Money and Value Line

2 Schedule CSB-8

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Average Capital Structure of Sample Water Utilities

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
			Common	
	Company	Debt	Equity	Total
	Company	DCDL	Lquity	rotal
	American States Water	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
	California Water	47.2%	52.8%	100.0%
	Aqua America	52.0%	48.0%	100.0%
	Connecticut Water	50.8%	49.2%	100.0%
	Middlesex Water	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
ļ	SJW Corp	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
	York Water	44.2%	<u>55.8%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
	Average Sample Water Utilities	47.9%	52.1%	100.0%
	3			
1	Abra Water Co Actual Capital Structure	51.4%	48.6%	100.0%

Source

Sample Water Companies from Value Line

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Growth in Earnings and Dividends Sample Water Utilities

[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]
	Dividends	Dividends	Earnings	Earnings
	Per Share	Per Share	Per Share	Per Share
	2003 to 2013	Projected	2003 to 2013	Projected
Company	DPS ¹	DPS ¹	EPS ¹	EPS ¹
American States Water	5.6%	7.7%	15.2%	3.9%
California Water	1.3%	8.0%	4.9%	8.9%
Aqua America	7.6%	9.0%	9.7%	6.0%
Connecticut Water	1.7%	3.4%	3.7%	3.3%
Middlesex Water	1.5%	2.0%	5.4%	3.1%
SJW Corp	4.1%	5.2%	2.1%	8.7%
York Water	<u>4.1%</u>	<u>6.0%</u>	<u>4.8%</u>	8.0%
Average Sample Water Utilities	3.7%	5.9%	6.5%	6.0%

¹ Value Line

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Sustainable Growth Sample Water Utilities

[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]
	Retention	Retention	Stock	Sustainable	Sustainable
	Growth	Growth	Financing	Growth	Growth
	2003 to 2013	Projected	Growth	2003 to 2013	Projected
Company	<u>br</u>	<u>br</u>	<u>vs</u>	br + vs	br + vs
American States Water	4.1%	5.6%	1.7%	5.8%	7.3%
California Water	2.7%	3.8%	3.1%	5.7%	6.9%
Aqua America	4.2%	6.0%	1.8%	5.9%	7.8%
Connecticut Water	2.1%	3.5%	3.4%	5.5%	6.9%
Middlesex Water	1.3%	2.8%	2.8%	4.2%	5.7%
SJW Corp	3.2%	3.6%	0.8%	4.1%	4.5%
York Water	2.2%	4.0%	<u>4.5%</u>	<u>6.6%</u>	<u>8.5%</u>
Average Sample Water Utilities	2.8%	4.2%	2.6%	5.4%	6.8%

[[]B]: Value Line

[[]C]: Value Line

[[]D]: Value Line, MSN Money, and Form 10-Ks filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (http://www.sec.gov/)

[[]E]: [B]+[D]

[[]F]: [C]+[D]

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Selected Financial Data of Sample Water Utilities

[A]	(B)	[C]	[D]	(E)	[F]	[G]
	. ·				Value Line	Raw
		Spot Price		Mkt To	Beta	Beta
Company	Symbol	9/3/2014	Book Value	Book	B	<u>βraw</u>
American States Water	AWR	32.32	12.74	2.5	0.70	0.52
California Water	CWT	24.11	12.28	2.0	0.70	0.52
Aqua America	WTR	24.94	8.57	2.9	0.70	0.52
Connecticut Water	CTWS	33.08	16.43	2.0	0.65	0.45
Middlesex Water	MSEX	20.65	12.09	1.7	0.70	0.52
SJW Corp	SJW	27.76	15.63	1.8	0.80	0.67
York Water	YORW	20.32	8.29	<u>2.5</u>	<u>0.75</u>	0.60
Average				2.2	0.71	0.54

[C]: Msn Money

[D]: Value Line

[E]: [C]/[D]

[F]: Value Line

[G]: (-0.35 + [F]) / 0.67

0.7083

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Calculation of Expected Infinite Annual Growth in Dividends Sample Water Utilities

[A]	[B]
<u>Description</u>	д
DPS Growth - Historical ¹	3.7%
DPS Growth - Projected ¹	5.9%
EPS Growth - Historical ¹	6.5%
EPS Growth - Projected ¹	6.0%
Sustainable Growth - Historical ²	5.4%
Sustainable Growth - Projected ²	<u>6.8%</u>
Average	5.7%

¹ Schedule CSB-5

² Schedule CSB-6

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation Multi-Stage DCF Estimates Sample Water Utilities

[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]
	Current Mkt.	Projec	cted Dividen	ds ² (Stage 1	growth)	Stage 2 growth ³	Equity Cost
Company	Price $(P_o)^1$		(Q_t)	(g _a)	Estimate (K)⁴	
	9/3/2014	d ₁	d_2	d_3	d_4		
American States Water	32.3	0.86	0.91	0.96	1.01	6.5%	9.1%
California Water	24.1	0.66	0.70	0.74	0.78	6.5%	9.2%
Aqua America	24.9	0.64	0.68	0.72	0.76	6.5%	9.0%
Connecticut Water	33.1	0.99	1.05	1.11	1.17	6.5%	9.4%
Middlesex Water	20.7	0.77	0.81	0.86	0.91	6.5%	10.1%
SJW Corp	27.8	0.74	0.78	0.83	0.87	6.5%	9.1%
York Water	20.3	0.56	0.59	0.63	0.66	6.5%	9.2%

Average 9.3%

$$P_0 = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{D_t}{(1+K)^t} + \frac{D_n(1+g_n)}{K-g_n} \left[\frac{1}{(1+K)}\right]^n$$

Where: P_0 = current stock price

 D_t = dividends expected during stage 1

 $K = \operatorname{costof} \operatorname{equity}$

n = years of non – constant growth D_n = dividend expected in year n

 g_n = constant rate of growth expected after year n

^{1 [}B] see Schedule JAC-7

² Derived from Value Line Information

³ Average annual growth in GDP 1929 - 2012 in current dollars.

⁴ Internal Rate of Return of Projected Dividends

Abra Water Company Cost of Capital Calculation										
Capitalization (D. D. Capitalization)										
				•	er Data Request BCA 1	•				
	Interest Date	۸	musi Intonset		Amount outstanding	Percentage of				
	Interest Rate	All	muai mieresi		as of 12/31/2012	Capital Structure				
Long-Term Debt										
Big Chino Loan	10.000%	\$	812	\$	8,117					
WIFA Loan	4.20%	\$	4,224	\$	100,565					
WIFA Loan	5.6%	\$	10,978		196,032					
Chase Loan	4.7%	\$	1,520		32,330					
Long-Term Debt	5.2%	\$	17,533	\$	337,044	51.37%				
Short-Term Debt			-			0.00%				
Total Debt		\$	17,533	\$	337,044	51.37%				
Common Equity				\$	319,020					
Common Shares Outstanding										
Paid in Capital										
Retained Earnings										
Total Common Equity				\$	319,020	48.63%				
Total Capitalization				\$	656,064	100.00%				